

	<b>Do you think the road signs entering the village should be changed from 'Goring' to 'Goring-on-Thames', or left as they are? (Tick one answer.)</b>	<b>Please explain why you gave that answer.</b>
1	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Why was it ever changed? We were Goring-on-Thames, to differentiate us from Goring-by-Sea!
2	CHANGE THE SIGNS	We had a driver ring from Goring-by-Sea as he couldn't find our address! He only came from Reading.
3	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring on Thames, not Goring.
4	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring on Thames, not Goring.
5	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
6	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
7	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
8	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
9	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
10	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Changes are not a good use of public funds.
11	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It would be a silly and snooty waste of money.
12	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Goring by Sea already differentiates itself. Waste of money.
13	CHANGE THE SIGNS	They will differentiate the village from others in the country.
14	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
15	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
16	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
17	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring-on-Thames sounds inviting and interesting - Goring a bit mundane! £5,000 is a lot of money though!
18	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
19	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
20	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
21	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
22	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
23	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
24	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
25	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Clarification finally with Goring-on-Sea
26	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Clarification finally Goring-on-Sea
27	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
28	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
29	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
30	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
31	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
32	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
33	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
34	NO STRONG VIEWS	.

35	NO STRONG VIEWS	ON the one hand, the village has been called Goring since the days of the Domesday Book as has Goring, Sussex. The latter is now known as Goring-by-Sea to differentiate it from Goring in Oxfordshire. On the other hand, one could argue that our Goring should be called Goring on Thames to differentiate it from Goring by Sea. (As we are actually in Oxfordshire, rather than in Berkshire, I would prefer our postal address to be accurate.
36	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
37	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money would be better spent improving village facilities.
38	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	At a time when we are seeing a real squeeze on local budgets and in the cost of living, for £2500 of public money to be spent on a road sign that doesn't need change is crass and will be viewed poorly by the less well off members of the village.
39	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Not a good use of public money.
40	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Have lived in Goring over ____ years and used both forms of address. Do not waste our money!
41	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of public funds that could be better spent.
42	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Not materially significant to change the name on signs that are fit for purpose. Agree that there must be better use of this money.
43	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The village is called 'Goring'
44	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Complete waste of public funds when you could spend £5k on children's play equipment etc.
45	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I don't think this is required at all - it feels like snobbery!
46	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Use the money for something more important for Goring.
47	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Given answer to question 1, far more pressing monetary needs than this. Give two recent incidents that put females getting off the train in immediate danger, this should be priority.
48	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Could the money be used elsewhere? Seems wasteful and not necessary.
49	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Could the money be used elsewhere? Seems wasteful and not necessary.
50	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	No strong views, but not a good use of £5k
51	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	No strong views, but not a good use of £5k
52	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Signs should show the legal address - Goring Adding "on-Thames" will not improve status or attractiveness of village. It is a complete waste of time and money.
53	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It has always been Goring-on-Thames to me as that is the way we were taught in school.
54	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
55	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
56	CHANGE THE SIGNS	For the reasons you have detailed above.
57	CHANGE THE SIGNS	For the reasons you have detailed above.
58	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
59	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I agree with GiB that it would enhance the positive feeling of the village for visitors and residents.
60	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.

61	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It identifies the village and its connection with the river better than just Goring
62	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
63	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
64	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Don't know why the name was changed - I have lived here for nearly ___ years and have always known it as Goring-on-Thames to avoid mail going to Goring-by-Sea.
65	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Just makes more sense.
66	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
67	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
68	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
69	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Would rather that the money was spent on other needs.
70	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Even the Council uses Goring on Thames in the title.
71	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It helps promote Goring as a tourist attraction and bringing business to the village.
72	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Why not!!
73	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
74	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I like the idea of 'Goring on Thames' and think the change worth the effort.
75	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Agree that the changed sign would better describe the village in connection to the river heritage. We have often been confused with 'Goring By Sea' also when just refer to 'Goring'
76	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Most people call us 'Goring on Thames'
77	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
78	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
79	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
80	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Connect Goring to the river
81	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I agree with the sentiments above expressed by those in favour of change.
82	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
83	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The title (G on T) indicates our position on the lovely flow of the river. That is important to us!!
84	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The village is now known as Goring-on-Thames so it seems logical that the signs should reflect this.
85	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
86	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
87	CHANGE THE SIGNS	1. Put them on ALL entrances to Goring village, 2. Find a cheaper solution.
88	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
89	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
90	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
91	CHANGE THE SIGNS	BUT there is no need for hyphens; these make the signs unnecessarily busy and are quite superfluous to the signing.
92	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
93	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
94	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.

95	CHANGE THE SIGNS	We are a riverside village, let's be proud of it.
96	CHANGE THE SIGNS	'Goring on Thames' is already widely used - its even the return address on your envelope!! It's much more attractive than just 'Goring' and compared to many of the things public money is spent on, this is hardly a waste.
97	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
98	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
99	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Will remind strangers that Goring on Thames is worth a stopover as highlighting its accessibility to the river.
100	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Sounds better haha!
101	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
102	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
103	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
104	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
105	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
106	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of £2500 of public money
107	CHANGE THE SIGNS	This will inform potential tourists that Goring is by the Thames which will encourage the use of our hotels, shops and inns.
108	CHANGE THE SIGNS	An improper comment, as is the inclusion of the second paragraph of this section.
109	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
110	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
111	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Would give the village a clear identity
112	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I believe it is right.
113	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
114	NO STRONG VIEWS	Would be nice to change the signs, but I'm not sure how many people would notice.
115	NO STRONG VIEWS	Public funds could be put to better use.
116	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
117	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	If you cannot change the legal village name it seems bonkers (?) to spen £5k on existing signage. That said, efforts should be made to change the legal name to Goring on Thames. I am always asked if I don't mention Thames do I live on the South Coast!
118	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money should be spent on (the projects in section) #3
119	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
120	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Better reflects that we are not Goring on Sea and identifies the village better.
121	CHANGE THE SIGNS	If GiB is willing to fund it, etc, then change it.
122	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
123	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
124	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I support Goring in Bloom. It would be useful if any OCC expenditure could also emphasize the 20mph limit which is often ignored.
125	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I support Goring in Bloom. It would be useful if any OCC expenditure couls also emphasize the 20mph limit which is often ignored.
126	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Subject to OCC Highways funding. Otherwise leave alone.
127	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Subject to OCC Highways funding. Otherwise leave alone.

128	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
129	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
130	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Half the money has already been raised and agree that it would be nice to connect the village with the river heritage.
131	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Half the money has already been raised and agree that it would be nice to connect the village with the river heritage.
132	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
133	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
134	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
135	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
136	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
137	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
138	CHANGE THE SIGNS	My family have been residents of this riverside village in excess of ____ years. It surely owes its name and existence because of the river.
139	CHANGE THE SIGNS	My family have been residents of this riverside village in excess of ____ years. It surely owes its name and existence because of the river.
140	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I'm surprised the village isn't legally Goring on Thames and can see the advantages of using that name to differentiate from Goring on Sea and connect to its geographical position. Goring on Thames is used a lot including on the Parish Council website and is helpful to locate the village. Was going to suggest the signs are changed when they reach the end of their useful life but the fact that half the money and thus the concept has already been agreed by Oxfordshire County Council Highways and may not be available at a future date would support the change in the near future.
141	NO STRONG VIEWS	
142	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I don't feel the change is important enough to justify the expense.
143	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	We would rather see this money spent on other things. There is no need to change the signs especially if the the legal name remains the same. This is a total waste of money. Perhaps GIB could repurpose the money they have saved for 'signs' towards what they were requesting support for from GPC for instead.
144	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Wasteful use of public money
145	NO STRONG VIEWS	It does not change the legal name, so why is it important?
146	NO STRONG VIEWS	This could be done when the old signs need repair/replacement.
147	NO STRONG VIEWS	Slight preference for Goring on Thames - but is it worth the money.
148	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
149	NO STRONG VIEWS	But would agree to go ahead ONLY if OCC did provide remaining funds.
150	NO STRONG VIEWS	But agree to go ahead ONLY if OCC did provide the remaining funds.
151	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
152	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
153	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Save the money.
154	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Totally unnecessary and a complete waste of money

155	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It helps to identify the village with the River and enforce connection to the Parish of Goring-on-Thames (i.e. same as that of the Parish Council) and ties in with village sign etc.
156	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This village is called Goring, not Goring-on-Thames. What a waste of time, effort and money that could be better used elsewhere. Why would you have a sign that is different from the legal name of the village?
157	CHANGE THE SIGNS	If these are to be financed, then we would like the sign to be changed to Goring-on-Thames.
158	CHANGE THE SIGNS	If these are to be financed, then we would like the sign to be changed to Goring-on-Thames.
159	NO STRONG VIEWS	I don't feel I have sufficient information to make a decision, e.g. what would/could the funds, either by GiB or by OCC, be otherwise spent on and how big an expense is this in the context of other spend.
160	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
161	NO STRONG VIEWS	I think it would be nice but not a priority
162	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money could be better spent. Facilities for teenagers for a start.
163	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I think such a move is a waste of resources and unnecessary creation of carbon in the atmosphere.
164	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Avoid unnecessary waste of material and resources.
165	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Not worth the cost. Change when needed, not before.
166	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I feel it a waste of money for such 'enhancement' when it could be put to better use.
167	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money could be better spent elsewhere. It's obvious we are not Goring-by-Sea.
168	NO STRONG VIEWS	No strong views
169	NO STRONG VIEWS	No strong views
170	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of resources
171	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I think it would be an unnecessary use of public funds.
172	NO STRONG VIEWS	I'm sure there are better ways to spend this money
173	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	No need to spend more money
174	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
175	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Use OCC money to fix potholes.
176	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I think the money could be better used elsewhere.
177	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
178	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Change the signs when existing signs need renewing
179	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Change the signs when existing signs need renewing.
180	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The village has always been known as such.
181	CHANGE THE SIGNS	create consistency through the village
182	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Changing signs preferable & help keep consistency with all references (& village sign on green).

183	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I would rather OCC funds were spent on repairing road surfaces. Nor do I see a real need - this will be a largely unnoticed merely symbolic gesture when even SODC hasn't yet fully embraced the addition of "on-Thames" to the PC's official name which it approved and when the fashion is for simplification and abbreviation rather than elaboration.
184	NO STRONG VIEWS	It is irrelevant
185	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
186	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
187	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The village is directly on the Thames so Goring on Thames is a more accurate address
188	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The village is directly on the Thames so Goring on Thames is a more accurate address
189	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Seems pompous to be raising funds for this one!!
190	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
191	NO STRONG VIEWS	It depends on the cost of changing the sigs. Goring-on-Thames sounds better, but perhaps replace them when they become worn/tatty and need replacing anyway.
192	NO STRONG VIEWS	I prefer Goring on Thames, but it is a money wasting exercise!
193	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	What a waste of money!
194	NO STRONG VIEWS	Can see both sides of the argument.
195	NO STRONG VIEWS	I can see both sides of the argument. I always think of us as Goring-on-Thames so not sure the signs matter - perhaps they should be replaced as G-on-T when needed.
196	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
197	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring should differentiate itself from Goring-by-Sea and is proud of its riverside location. Finance has been organised, with village residents showing their commitment and enthusiasm, and the cost to OCC public funds is trivial.
198	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Any change would be purely cosmetic and a waste of money.
199	CHANGE THE SIGNS	To differentiate between Goring and Goring-on-Thames
200	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It seems a waste of money...
201	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It seems an unnecessary expense. The signs appear to have a lot of life left in them.
202	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I grew up in Goring (on thames!) and live here again now, at ___ this is the first time anyone has drawn my attention to GoT not being the 'official' name of the village! It seems strange to me that the signs don't say Goring On Thames when that is what it is referred to by so many, in so many places. I think it makes sense to change them, especially if funding has been agreed and raised.
203	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Given the cost I think these funds would be better spent on more dog waste bins and litter bins in the village
204	CHANGE THE SIGNS	There are 2 Gorings so it's good to be clear
205	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Please change the signs to 'Goring-on-Thames' when they need replacing.

206	NO STRONG VIEWS	The village is widely known by both names so picking one over another seems a fruitless exercise
207	CHANGE THE SIGNS	reasons as given by GiB, and noting there will be no cost to the Parish Council
208	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Changing road signs would confuse non residents entering the village, especially van delivery drivers. A waste of money given that the postal address and legal village name will not change.
209	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	In the current financial climate, there would be better use for this money. In addition, the road into Goring down Elvendon Road is the only entrance to the village that has never had any sign of this kind. So one would be welcome.
210	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	In the current financial climate, there would be better use for this money. In addition, the road into Goring down Elvendon Road is the only entrance to the village that has never had any sign of this kind. So one would be welcome.
211	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I don't think there is need for the distinction and given the issues of recovering from the pandemic I think it is wrong to be spending money to replace perfectly good road signs. I'm sure other community initiatives are more worthwhile - even if the money goes elsewhere in the county.
212	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Seems a waste of money - after all the proper name is the village is just Goring. Nobody is likely to suppose that they are in Sussex as they approach the village by road.
213	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
214	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
215	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
216	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
217	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
218	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
219	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
220	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
221	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
222	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
223	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
224	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
225	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I tend to use Goring on Thames in my postal address anyway. I agree with GIB that it would connect the village and the river. If the funding is there, then let's do it.
226	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	more important things to spend money on
227	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
228	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
229	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
230	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
231	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I would rather see more flowers :-)
232	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
233	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Could use the money for other things.



234	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money to change.
235	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	£5000 can be much better spent improving village facilities.
236	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Total waste of time and money. Don't believe anyone is stupid enough to think they have arrived at Goring-on-Sea.
237	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Anyone who cares/want to visit will know we're on/by the Thames. Ditto Wallingford, etc, etc. Re Going on Sea, there must be thousands of same name places - but postcode identifies where we are. Changing is a waste of money.
238	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
239	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
240	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The clue is in 'Goring' - it's never been Goring on Thames - it sounds pretentious. Also a waste of money.
241	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	it is an unnecessary cost
242	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Too expensive - wait 'til they need replacing.
243	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
244	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	_____ Goring on Sea, it is inconceivable the two could be confused. Money should be spent on other priorities, people who think they are in Goring on Sea by the time they reach the village boundary, will not be helped by a sign.
245	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	There are better ways to spend £5,000
246	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
247	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
248	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
249	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Cost! Signs could be changed when worn out.
250	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Cost! Signs could be changed when worn out.
251	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Wasted expenditure and not fitting with emphasis on sustainability and resources.
252	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Wasted expenditure and not fitting with emphasis on sustainability and resources.
253	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
254	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
255	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of public money - use it for safety signs - 20 is plenty.
256	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of public money - use it for safety signs - 20 is plenty.
257	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Because that is the legal name of the village. If the legal name changes, then beautiful new signs would be lovely!
258	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Because that is the legal name of the village. If the legal name changes, then beautiful new signs would be lovely!
259	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It's wasteful.
260	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
261	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money, no need.
262	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	a) The village's name is Goring b) A longer name is unnecessary, confusing and waste space and ink c) It is a waste of public money
263	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
264	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
265	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money better spent elsewhere

266	CHANGE THE SIGNS	We have lived here for ___ years plus, and always know as Goring-on-Thames. With half the funds already raised by GiB group a plaque acknowledging their great success nationally is long over due.
267	CHANGE THE SIGNS	We have lived here for ___ years plus, and always know as Goring-on-Thames. With half the funds already raised by GiB group a plaque acknowledging their great success nationally is long over due.
268	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Pride of place. Goring on Thames is already in common parlance, and is the title the parish council adopted. It seems crazy not to align the village's name and the parish council's name
269	CHANGE THE SIGNS	... for reasons given in last para - correct to river heritage that make our village [bright/right/wright - illegible]
270	CHANGE THE SIGNS	If the signs are changed then the legal name of the village should be changed as well with the Post Office.
271	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	To change them would be a ludicrous waste of money. It could so better be spent elsewhere eg pedestrian safety on Wallingford Road, which I have previously raised concerns about.
272	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Happy to change to 'Goring on Thames' when existing signs are life expired.
273	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Happy to change to 'Goring on Thames' when existing signs are life expired.
274	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
275	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I would prefer that Highways money should be spent filling in pot holes and improving the quality of the pavements, Especially Wallingford Rd.
276	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I would prefer that Highways money should be spent filling in pot holes and improving the quality of the pavements, Especially Wallingford Rd.
277	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
278	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It's purely cosmetic change that would serve no practical purpose.
279	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It's purely cosmetic change that would serve no practical purpose.
280	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It is the post code that needs changing i.e from RG to OX
281	NO STRONG VIEWS	n/a
282	CHANGE THE SIGNS	na
283	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	IF THE NAME LEGALLY changed to Goring on Thames I would definitely VOTE FOR changing the signs.
284	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money would be better spent on EFFECTIVE traffic calming in Wallingford Rd where there are school children, parents and the elderly.
285	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Good to connect with river heritage. I agree that the cost of £5k is high for what is only a cosmetic change but the funds have already been raised / secured.
286	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money would be better spent on EFFECTIVE traffic calming in Wallingford Rd where there are school children, parents and the elderly.
287	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
288	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.

289	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This is a vanity exercise and not worth the cost or effort.
290	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Not a good use of funds. Improving traffic flow from the Bridge to Goring centre would be a better use of them. The more parking there, it creates danger, frustration, bad first impression, etc.
291	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Unnecessary
292	NO STRONG VIEWS	Thought village was called Goring on Thames. Costly exercise but worth it for distinction from Sea Goring which also has a Gap.
293	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money to change signs.
294	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
295	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
296	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Vanity project which costs money
297	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Vanity project which costs money
298	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
299	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Because Goring is what is on maps and SatNav
300	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Spend the money on something to improve the lives of residents who need it.
301	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Not a necessary use of public funds from OCC Highways.
302	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money, could be better spent on something better
303	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money.
304	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money.
305	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
306	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
307	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	While I agree that Goring on Thames sounds more picturesque, there are far better things to spend money on.
308	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
309	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
310	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
311	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
312	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Complete waste of money!
313	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	There is little point in changing the road signs until, if ever, the village name changes to G-on-Thames.
314	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	There is little point in changing the road signs until, if ever, the village name changes to G-on-Thames.
315	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Signs adequate now, money should not be wasted.
316	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Signs adequate now, money should not be wasted.
317	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Unnecessary use of funds.
318	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Unnecessary use of funds.
319	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This is SO wasteful of the earth's resources and our money. It seems pretentious to change to Goring-on-Thames, when we actually live in Goring!
320	CHANGE THE SIGNS	If the funds/grants have been raised/available it should be done. The name of the village is Goring-on-Thames so that is what the sign should be.
321	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Cost
322	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.

323	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Current signs are sufficient and clear and I would rather the funds were spent on other things such as better playground equipment and improved bins for rubbish on public spaces.
324	NO STRONG VIEWS	Self explanatory
325	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Yes, I have always thought of our village as Goring on Thames.
326	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring on Thames would fit in with the name of the Parish Council and all other organisations which use this name. Also, it may deter satnav mistakes drivers mixing us up with Goring by Sea.
327	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	1)Before postcodes, putting "on Thames" on envelopes stopped the letters going to Going by Sea. Post codes negate that now. 2) Waste of public money. 3) if linkage with the river is needed then how about interpretation panel to tell the story of Going?
328	CHANGE THE SIGNS	As above it will enhance road entrance
329	NO STRONG VIEWS	More important stuff to spend money on
330	NO STRONG VIEWS	I don't feel Strongly about this
331	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Wasteful.
332	NO STRONG VIEWS	Use whatever the official title is now.
333	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The river is a huge part of this village and the signs should reflect that.
334	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It's a waste of money with no clear and identifiable justification. Appears to be just based on opinion rather than verifiable facts.
335	NO STRONG VIEWS	Have no strong preference on the visuals. Postal address is main point of interest.
336	NO STRONG VIEWS	Haven't noticed the sign
337	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money. Must be better ways of spending £5000.
338	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I dont think changing the signs adds anything
339	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	There are lots of things you could spend the money on. Wait until the signs need replacing then do it
340	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Because it is the name of the village, not a marketing poster.
341	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	£5,000 for signs not near end of their life can be better spent on other village projects as mentioned later in the survey (the £2.5k raised by Goring in Bloom I am sure could help transform the highest priority village project).
342	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This does sound like an embarrassing waste of money. I'd be surprised if many if any people confuse Goring with Goring-On-Sea, they're not anywhere near each other. It's fantastic the Goring in Bloom group have managed to raise £2,500 though, I'm sure there are many valuable ways to spend some of this, one suggestion is to contact Goring Primary School - they have a lovely Courtyard/Kitchen garden used to engage the next generation of gardeners - I'm sure they would hugely value any donations to help improve the space which is currently being spruced up by parent volunteers having been neglected during the pandemic when access was not possible.

343	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Whilst I always use the address Goring on Thames in my own correspondence I feel unless the name is accepted legally there is little point spending £5000 on new signs at this point in time. However surely a cheaper option in the interim could be to print a heavy duty self adhesive panel to cover the single word name with the desirable option until the life of the current sign has expired and hopefully the GoT name adopted and recognised legally.
344	NO STRONG VIEWS	I can't get excited about signage!
345	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Thoughts should be given to mounting a legal case to change the village name to Goring-on-Thames, Oxfordshire. It riles me to receive mail addressed to Berkshire. Henley-on-Thames has no problem of the sort, why do we??
346	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The legal village name should be change and this would be a good first step.
347	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring Heath and Goring on Sea - people get confused
348	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
349	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
350	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Enhances the village
351	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Enhances the village
352	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
353	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
354	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
355	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
356	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
357	NO STRONG VIEWS	I usually write Goring-on-Thames on correspondence in order to differentiate from Goring-by-Sea.
358	NO STRONG VIEWS	Prefer to avoid waste, so slightly in favour of no change.
359	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
360	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
361	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
362	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This should not be a priority until road safety and amenities for the young are successfully achieved.
363	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
364	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
365	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
366	NO STRONG VIEWS	I would rather use the funds spent on improving the local road surfaces and providing better anti-speed measures in the Wallingford Rd.
367	NO STRONG VIEWS	I would rather see funds spent on improving the local road surfaces and providing better anti-speed measures in the Wallingford Road.
368	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Simple! I have experienced several postal delays with letters going to Goring-on-Sea!
369	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
370	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
371	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.

372	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Change them when they are 'worn out' and donate the money to Citizens Advice Oxfordshire, South and Vale.
373	CHANGE THE SIGNS	But why the hyphens? Not grammatically required and they make the name unnecessarily busy.
374	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Think it is a waste of money to change the signage -
375	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Spend money doing pothole repair.
376	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Signs perfectly adequate and Oxfordshire County Council Highways need the money.
377	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
378	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Don't waste money. Spend money on something else more in need.
379	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It seems to be an unnecessary expense.
380	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Less confusing
381	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
382	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	- despite my lost luggage going to Goring on Sea!
383	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Maps show Goring
384	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of Council funds.
385	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Unnecessary cost
386	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Unnecessary cost
387	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	They are adequate for their purpose. To change them would waste valuable money and make them bigger.
388	CHANGE THE SIGNS	
389	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Most of us use Goring on Thames as an explanation of where we live. We don't need signs changed. Goring is fine.
390	CHANGE THE SIGNS	As long as they retained 'twinned with Bellême'
391	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Wasteful.
392	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I think residents use Goring-on-Thames as their default address rather than Goring.
393	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It provides a unique identifier for the village and mirrors the Parish name
394	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Why spend money on this when it could be put to a more essential use.
395	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	1. Cost 2. The signs should reflect the legal village name
396	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of financial resources
397	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Spending £5,000 on signs is a complete waste of 'our' money.
398	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It would be a total waste of money to change something that has been with us for years, The money can be used elsewhere that is actually needed
399	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This is an appalling waste of money. Nobody is driving in to Goring and wondering if they are in the correct Goring. Pure snobbery.
400	NO STRONG VIEWS	It seems more appropriate to either change the legal village name and postal address along side this, or leave as is
401	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The village is Goring on Thames and should not be confused with Goring on Sea
402	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Everyone knows it's Goring on Thames.
403	CHANGE THE SIGNS	more in keeping with the village name
404	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It been called Goring for ever, so why change it.? Waste of money.

405	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I have experience of a confused bus tour guide who thought he was on the south Coast.
406	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The name of the village is Goring so surely that is what signs should say. We are quite a long way from the sea - anyone who is relying on a sign as they come into the village to tell them which Goring they are in is already hopelessly lost.
407	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	If visitors cannot tell the difference between Goring on Sea and Goring on Thames they are obviously in the wrong part of the country.
408	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	When they need replacing ensure the change is made then, I would rather have a mirror to help drivers pull out of red Cross Road as it is a blind turn!
409	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Replacing the signs is completely unnecessary and a waste of money
410	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I think our position on the Thames should be celebrated.
411	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Cost too high
412	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I think that the name linkage would be good for the village - as it is a major influence on the character and life of the community.
413	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I think it would be a benefit to the village to link Goring with the River Thames as it such a wonderful amenity for the local community and visitors to enjoy.
414	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
415	CHANGE THE SIGNS	We like 'Goring on Thames'!
416	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I have had a person asking me the way to the sea! Thinking he was in Goring By Sea!
417	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Essential to stop confusion with Goring-on-Sea. I can't tell you how 'thick' people are re geography.
418	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
419	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I have in the past had letters (mail) redirected from GORING-ON-SEA believe it or not :-)
420	CHANGE THE SIGNS	To avoid confusion with Goring-on-Sea
421	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
422	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
423	CHANGE THE SIGNS	A/A if GiB have raised 1/2 the funds and OXCCH have agreed to fund the rest, then it would seem sensible to go ahead.
424	CHANGE THE SIGNS	A/A if GiB have raised 1/2 the funds and OXCCH have agreed to fund the rest, then it would seem sensible to go ahead.
425	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
426	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
427	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
428	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
429	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
430	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
431	CHANGE THE SIGNS	To more specifically and accurately reflect the village's name
432	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
433	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
434	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
435	NO STRONG VIEWS	.

436	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
437	NO STRONG VIEWS	The change should only be done when the signs need renewing.
438	NO STRONG VIEWS	I don't think this would help differentiate us from Goring-by-Sea if the legal village name and postal address remains the same. One guest invited to our daughter's wedding went to Goring-by-Sea by mistake and missed the wedding, but this would still have happened even if the signs at the entrance to the village were changed, since she looked the name up online. So although this suggestion could connect our village with its river heritage, I don't think it will help in differentiating it from Goring-by-Sea.
439	CHANGE THE SIGNS	We should be proud of our correct title "Goring-on-Thames
440	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It would enhance the entrance to the village and connect the village to its river heritage
441	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Whilst I am the first to discourage waste I think the arguments in favour of the change outweigh the expense involved.
442	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Please, ask the simple question is it sustainable to replace a set of signs. There are far more deserving causes to spend £5k
443	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Fix potholes first.
444	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Official name of village is GORING.
445	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
446	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Not a good use of public funds.
447	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Unless signs are broken Does seem a waste of money
448	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Feel money could be spent better to enhance Goring.
449	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Wasteful as para 2 above. Also, road signs in W Berks would remain as GORING
450	CHANGE THE SIGNS	My understanding is that GiB wish the signs to show that Goring is actively part of the Bloom group. Other places which take part have this on their village name signs. The change of name is secondary to this. The Council has already agreed to this, and as GiB has raised half the money and the rest comes from SODC's Highways budget, it will cost the village nothing.
451	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	A ridiculous waste of taxpayers' money, and quite unnecessary.
452	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	£5,000!!! This will not make any difference to anything. Not money well spent.
453	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	£5,000!!! This will not make any difference to anything. Not money well spent.
454	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
455	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
456	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Complete waste of money.
457	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Complete waste of money.
458	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
459	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
460	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	There MUST BE better things to spend money on than a sign (vanity project!). Appalling waste of money.



461	NO STRONG VIEWS	I do think the money would be better spent on enhancing play facilities for children in the village which is currently lacking or dreadful
462	NO STRONG VIEWS	Changing signs is a complete waste of waste of money. Goring in Bloom should raise the funds.
463	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
464	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	£5,000 can be/should be better spent. The local (blooming) group should fund it if they feel so strongly about the name.
465	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	£5,000 can be/should be better spent. The local (blooming) group should fund it if they feel so strongly about the name.
466	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Better ways to spend £2,500
467	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Absurd cost to inform people who think they might be by the sea!
468	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I've lived here for over 30 years, and always thought of it as Goring-on-Thames. It's what I say when people ask me where I live, as it helps to explain where we're located.
469	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	What a waste of public money and natural resources. OCC funds would be much better spent fixing potholes or making roads safer. GiB funds would be better spent making Goring greener, rather than wasting natural resources on a vanity project.
470	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
471	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Please spend the money on something else more important. People are struggling with increased costs at the moment so it is not appropriate to spend money on something just to make our village sound more posh.
472	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Possible confusion with Goring on Sea
473	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Possible confusion with Goring on Sea
474	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	non
475	NO STRONG VIEWS	non
476	CHANGE THE SIGNS	NON
477	CHANGE THE SIGNS	non
478	CHANGE THE SIGNS	There is a Goring in Sussex
479	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	By all means use "-on-thames" when signs replaced
480	NO STRONG VIEWS	Because the word Goring is sufficient
481	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Cost is quite small as GIB have raised half the funds. Agree would differentiate with Goring by sea
482	NO STRONG VIEWS	N/A
483	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	n/a
484	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	n/a
485	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	none
486	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The money could be better spent in these difficult times
487	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I think it would be a waste of Money
488	CHANGE THE SIGNS	n/a
489	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This idea is a SHOCKING waste of money
490	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	na
491	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Cost exceeds any benefit
492	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Cost exceeds any benefit

493	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It seems an unnecessary expense. Wait until the signs are naturally due for replacement.
494	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring on Thames sounds nicer!
495	NO STRONG VIEWS	I feel it is a waste of council money to change signage when not necessary.
496	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money. Lots more things to spend on. Roads for example.
497	NO STRONG VIEWS	If the money from OCC will be lost if not used for this project then it should go ahead.
498	NO STRONG VIEWS	Not concerned either way
499	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Changing the signs before they are due for replacement would be a waste of money for such a trivial issue
500	NO STRONG VIEWS	The signs are nice to have but it would be interesting to know what else these funds could be spent on, that might be more useful.
501	NO STRONG VIEWS	Rather a waste of money. Fill the potholes instead!
502	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
503	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Confusion with Goring by Sea. Emphasises the Thames which differentiates Goring on Thames from other villages.
504	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Think it's better to differentiate from Goring on Sea.
505	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I agree with the GiB argument and also think the Parish Council should provide funds for this.
506	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Consistency - avoid confusion with Goring on Sea. Make sure signage is attractive.
507	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Reflects to the identity of the village - location, beauty of Thames, (illegible word)
508	CHANGE THE SIGNS	A marvellous opportunity as funding is available.
509	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
510	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Consider making a change when signs require changing.
511	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Why waste money when it could be put to better use.
512	NO STRONG VIEWS	Goring-on-Thames is nicer but it does seem a waste of money
513	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
514	NO STRONG VIEWS	.
515	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money.
516	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Agree that it is perhaps not the best use of public funds.
517	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	'Goring' is the legal name and I see it as a waste of money and resources to change the signs to something different.
518	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	There are better ways to use this money - change the name when sign needs replacing.
519	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Change is unnecessary waste of money.
520	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of tax payers money
521	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Unnecessary expense.
522	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of public money
523	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
524	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This is 'Goring' or 'Goring & Srteatley'. I agree it's not a necessary use of public funds.
525	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Who cares? What a waste of money!
526	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money.

527	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
528	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The name 'Goring' already indicates 'Goring-on Thames'. Better use of funds elsewhere.
529	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Prioritise other initiatives first.
530	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Prioritise other initiatives first.
531	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
532	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
533	CHANGE THE SIGNS	So no confusion with Goring-on-Sea. Also it sounds better.
534	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The existing signs are serviceable and attractive. Why spend money on something that is unnecessary.
535	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
536	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
537	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring-on-Thames sounds better!
538	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
539	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	With 'Goring-on-Sea' always differentiating from 'Goring', there is no point in change to Goring-on-Thames.
540	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I think parked cars/vans on the bends of the Wallingford Road before the sign leaving the village to South Stoke are more of an issue which money should be spent on. I have had to pull out around a parked vehicle, completely blind to oncoming traffic, so many times at it is scary. How there hasn't been a major accident there I do not know. You literally have to pull around the vehicle and hope that nothing is coming. Improving this is what money should be spent on, I feel.
541	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
542	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Accurately describes village and prevents confusion with Goring-by-Sea.
543	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Accurately describes village and prevents confusion with Goring-by-Sea.
544	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Why spend money on a minor road sign name when it could be better spent elsewhere. Waste of money!
545	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
546	CHANGE THE SIGNS	na
547	CHANGE THE SIGNS	na
548	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money and unnecessary
549	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Enhances the villages identity
550	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Enhances the villages identity
551	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It seems rather pretentious to change the name of the village to Goring on Thames
552	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money to change
553	CHANGE THE SIGNS	NA
554	CHANGE THE SIGNS	na
555	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Quoted reasons seem reasonable
556	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Both the road signs and the postal address should be changed
557	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Connects with river heritage
558	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Connects with river heritage

559	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
560	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
561	NO STRONG VIEWS	Both are currently used when referring to the village plus change would have a cost which would be better spent elsewhere
562	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The signs are relatively new so suggest that they be replaced at a later date
563	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Goring is Goring not Goring on Thames. To spend upwards of £5k in pursuit of such a vainglorious objective would be an obscenity when so many are being pushed into poverty. Far better to support local households struggling to pay bills or buy food.
564	NO STRONG VIEWS	Waste of Money. Apply funds to clause 1 and 2 for q1
565	NO STRONG VIEWS	The money could be better spent on something else
566	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Because the change would clearly differentiate our village from Goring in Sussex, which currently causes confusion
567	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	na
568	CHANGE THE SIGNS	na
569	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This is a complete waste of Money
570	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	A complete waste of public funds
571	NO STRONG VIEWS	na
572	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	A waste of Money
573	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	.
574	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	A waste of money and quite unnecessary
575	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	A waste of money!
576	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Appears to be a waste of money. Await name replacement
577	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	In my view, the proposal would be a complete waste of money, Public money is in very short supply at present - this cannot possibly be a priority at this time. And it would contribute nothing except perhaps a bit of 'showing off' - 'we're posh!'.
578	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The signs should remain as the official name of the village, Goring. Changing them would not achieve your stated goals and would be a waste of money. I am a professional consultant in Identity and Heritage.
579	CHANGE THE SIGNS	n/a
580	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
581	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	People who come here know its Goring on Thames and wont be confused by seeing Goring on the signs
582	CHANGE THE SIGNS	.
583	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	save money
584	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Not necessary. Waste of money
585	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Not necessary. Waste of money
586	CHANGE THE SIGNS	N/A
587	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	n/a
588	CHANGE THE SIGNS	n/a
589	CHANGE THE SIGNS	n/a
590	CHANGE THE SIGNS	n/a

591	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I have always known the village as Goring-on-Thames and used this on my correspondence. It would be nice for the village to have its proper identity back.
592	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I think there are better uses of funds, and winning the competition for Britain in bloom will not be more likely with that signage change.
593	NO STRONG VIEWS	No need to change.
594	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Don't most people including businesses, use Goring on Thames as their address? Also, it would differentiate us from Goring on Sea. Also there is a precedent in Oxfordshire with Henley on Thames, Abingdon on Thames, Dorchester on Thames etc.
595	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It is a waste of money. The railway station would still be 'Goring and Streatley', so changing signs would add more confusion. The real issue, if any change, is to change from Reading (in Berkshire), RG8 to Oxfordshire with a different postcode. When asking former Parish Councillors as to why they changed the name of the Parish Council to 'Goring on Thames Parish Council', was told that they were led to believe that 1) the village name would change as well; and 2) the road signs would only be changed as they were naturally replaced - that there would be no cost. This past decision by the PC may wish to be further researched?
596	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I think it's a waste of money when there are so many other needs.
597	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The tourism businesses mostly use Goring On Thames. Image is important
598	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It is wasteful. Hardly anyone will notice the change. The current signs will have to be disposed of too (more waste). The money that has been raised can be used on flowers etc rather than signs! (Much more noticeable!)
599	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Replace them as they come up for renewal if that makes sense
600	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Pointless. Money better spent elsewhere.
601	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	If and when the name of the village is changed to Goring-on-Thames then that is when the signs should be changed.
602	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	As the village name is legally Goring, I do not think it is right to name the village something different.
603	CHANGE THE SIGNS	GiB does great things for our village, I think their wishes should be considered where we can.
604	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The Goring-on-Thames emphasis that it is on the river and is in keeping with other similar town names such as Henley-on-Thames.
605	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Confusion with Goring by Sea
606	CHANGE THE SIGNS	This is what many people call it as it distinguishes it from Goring -on-Sea.
607	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Wait until they need replacing and then change to Goring-on-Thames
608	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I would support if the legal village name would be changed as well. But £5000 to add "...-on-Thames" seems a bit much even if GiB help funding it.
609	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I have always referred to my village as Goring on Thames. It differentiates us from Goring by Sea, near Worthing.

610	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I feel this would be a waste of public funds when, as mentioned above, the current signs have plenty of life left in them - I'd have thought that anyone driving into the village would realise they are not in West Sussex...or they ought not to be in charge of a car! The change could simply be noted for the time when they do need to be renewed.
611	CHANGE THE SIGNS	That is the name of the village, we are not Goring-by-Sea or Goring Stantonbury
612	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	No need for this unnecessary cost in changing if signs
613	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It should be Goring on Thames Oxfordshire. Nothing is impossible so change the postal address from Berkshire to Oxfordshire.
614	NO STRONG VIEWS	Seems like quite a trivial issue. Although not much money I'm sure that could be spent elsewhere.
615	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Because it is much more descriptive of Goring
616	NO STRONG VIEWS	I have a slight preference for the change, but this is offset by the probable expense, leaving me neutral
617	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Not needed to verify where you are and the money could be better spent on filling potholes, for instance.
618	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money should be spent on more important things
619	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The Thames is a focal point for tourists- very helpful for visitors
620	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money which would be better spent on road safety
621	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Don't think there is any value in changing the signs. Also the legal village name is Goring, not Goring-on-Thames.
622	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I would prefer that name on entry to the village.
623	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Is this a vanity project? I think there are more important things to spend time and money on.
624	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I don't think it's that important
625	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	There are MUCH more important issues to spend money on - if our PC is that well off, please give to an area that is in need! Really!
626	CHANGE THE SIGNS	We should be distinguished from Goring in Sussex. We should use Goring - on - Thames as part of our postal address.
627	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Possible confusion with Goring on sea!
628	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring-on-Thames has been in common use for centuries. It is incorporated in legal documents, registered company names, all the other significant village signs use Goring-on-Thames, it is in line with other significant communities on the Thames such as Henley. It differentiates us from Goring by Sea and the Parish council is called Goring-on-Thames
629	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	£5000 would be better spent on improving facilities for children and young people in public spaces in and around Goring.
630	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Make a connection to the river
631	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Absolute waste of money to change! No reason to change the name.

632	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I think it provides a nice context to the village and as the narrative says strengthens the links to the river. I firmly believe that the actual legal village name should be changed to Goring on Thames, Oxfordshire. We are not part of Reading and the association is confusing for visitors.
633	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It would enhance the entrance to the village making clear its unique position alongside the River Thames in the Goring Gap, providing an important distinction from Goring by Sea. If this later can be called 'by sea' we should be called 'on Thames,
634	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It is bizarre that the PC is called Goring on Thames but the village is not. Apart from differentiating from Goring on Sea, it is an appropriate descriptor for the village. It is a marginal cost for Highways.
635	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money could be better spent on much more important things that have real impact on people of the village
636	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring-on-Thames differentiates us from the other Goring in the UK and is a better description of our location.
637	CHANGE THE SIGNS	My reason for this is because packages just marked Goring have been known to be directed to Goring on Sea so creating postal delays and the like. We are lucky to live in a very nice area and this could be represented by having a complete address ( Goring-on-Thames ).
638	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This seems very wasteful - why get rid of perfectly good signs?
639	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Goring is the authentic name derived from the village's listing in Domesday.
640	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money which could be used in other areas of the village. There is no confusion with Goring by Sea because of logistics.
641	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The official name of our village is 'Goring' - I suggest we leave it at that.
642	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It is not necessary and also a waste of money. We should stick to the correct name for the village. ie Goring. It is obvious that we do not live in Goring-on-Sea!!
643	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Many places say 'on-Thames'. Deliveries have been made to Goring-on-Sea!
644	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Many places say 'on-Thames'. Deliveries have been made to Goring-on-Sea!
645	CHANGE THE SIGNS	n/a
646	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	n/a
647	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	n/a
648	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The signs are fine
649	NO STRONG VIEWS	Pros and cons. Don't really think it makes much difference but if people feel strongly and have raised funds, why not!
650	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
651	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money changing them
652	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Identity of village obvious.
653	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring on Thames is a much better description

654	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Surely better uses for the money
655	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The money can be spent better elsewhere. The existing signs have many years of life left and we should not be replacing things that are not worn out. There is no confusion with Goring-by-Sea (that's why we have postcodes).
656	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Better connects with our river heritage and differentiates from Goring-by-Sea
657	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Waste of money
658	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Will represent that Goring is a thriving and beautiful place to be. Will keep us on the map.
659	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I am proud to live in Goring-on-Thames, which distinguishes this village from Goring-on-Sea, and would like this to also be its legal and postal name.
660	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money could definitely be better spent on more important and necessary projects.
661	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Because its not Goring on Sea
662	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It clarifies where we are. I often use it to ensure deliveries etc come to the right place.
663	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It has been known as Goring-on-Thames for at least 150 years and there is frankly no reason or justification to change it now. Any change would lead to confusion with Goring-on-sea and would lead to considerable and unnecessary cost to residents and road signage providers for absolutely no identifiable benefit.
664	NO STRONG VIEWS	The signs don't bother me, it's not a big deal...so no strong views. If costly, I would not bother.
665	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The village has been known as Goring-on-Thames for the whole of my life (I was born here) so it's taken far too long to get to this point already.
666	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Our village has been known as Goring-on-Thames for at the very least the last century . It avoids confusion with Goring-on-Sea and emphasises the geographical importance of the river in the Goring Gap. I fail to understand why the idea of renaming our village has arisen in the first place!
667	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	A waste of money, could be put to better use. After all it does not change the name of the village!
668	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Council address, and printed information and info boards all state Goring on Thames. Also, looks good
669	CHANGE THE SIGNS	As Goring is known as Goring on the Thames, also it is more attractive for tourist and visitors
670	NO STRONG VIEWS	Ideally, I'd like to see the village officially/legally become Goring-on-Thames, then a spend of £5K on signs would be justifiable. If the legal name remains as just Goring, I'm not convinced we should spend £5K on G-o-T signs
671	CHANGE THE SIGNS	So that it is not to be confused with Goring on Sea
672	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Other signs already use Goring on Thames e.g. Rectory Garden and village hall.



673	NO STRONG VIEWS	Not sure it makes any difference
674	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Celebrating the river adds value to the village
675	CHANGE THE SIGNS	They will make the entrances to the village more welcoming and informative.
676	NO STRONG VIEWS	No strong view
677	NO STRONG VIEWS	I agree with the idea of changing the name to Goring on Thames but do not feel strongly about this
678	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Goring on Thames is widely used, including by GPC.
679	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	It really seems to be wasteful to spend any time and money on this, for no real tangible benefit.
680	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Other things to spend £5k on to be honest. Who cares about a sign.
681	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Seems wasteful
682	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I think the signs are fine as they are
683	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It would be good to link the village to the river and call it by its full name
684	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	New signs wouldn't serve any purpose. I doubt that many people arrive in Goring and expect to see the sea
685	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	In this time of financial crisis it is a waste of Money
686	CHANGE THE SIGNS	I think it would make more people as they enter the village!
687	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I would much rather £5000 be spend on much needed road calming measures down Wallingford Road. Other villages nearby are so much more advanced in this area.
688	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The "on-Thames" (would it include a hyphen - or not?) suffix is an unnecessary affectation. With or without a hyphen it is sure to be misspelt by about 50 per cent of people who write it. No local person says he or she is "going to Goring-on-Thames" to have a haircut or buy crisps; they will always say just "Goring". It is also wasteful of resources. Think of the infinitely greater amounts of printing ink and toner that would be consumed over the ages by the inclusion of two unnecessary extra words in text or address labels. Keep "Goring".
689	NO STRONG VIEWS	I would prefer Goring-on-Thames. However, I can understand why some people might want to wait until the current signage needs replacing to make the change.
690	NO STRONG VIEWS	I am sure this money would be better spent elsewhere - I think it's obvious we are not living in Goring -on-sea!
691	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The river Thames is a very important part of Goring, and is just as much a destination as the village itself for boating, canoeing and paddle boarding so it makes sense to give it an equal billing.
692	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I see it as hard to justify the expense. Seems more sensible to leave this until signage needs replacing anyway.
693	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Put the money towards something else
694	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Money could be better spent elsewhere. Does not Goring "by-Sea" differentiate itself sufficiently from Goring?
695	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The village's historic name is Goring. It does not need to be changed.
696	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Seems like a waste of time and money to me!

697	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Just leave it as it is
698	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Wait until the signs are at end of life and spend much needed funds elsewhere.
699	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	The money should be spent on people in need or something that will have a tangible benefit for the village
700	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Is there money to waste on this?
701	NO STRONG VIEWS	It does sound un-necessary, but I've heard that 5k isn't a great sum of money in the scheme of parish council budgets. If enough residents feel strongly, let them have their fun.
702	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Would create confusion with inconsistencies between signs & legal village name. Inappropriate use of OCC public monies. Would prefer the monies spent of repairing potholes
703	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	This would be a ridiculous and inexcusable waste of money. The 'on-Thames' suffix is a pretentious and really rather excruciating attempt at appearing upmarket. I very strongly object to public money being used in this way.
704	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The village name is Goring on Thames
705	NO STRONG VIEWS	It really doesn't bother me.
706	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	There are better ways to spend money. This would bring negative publicity on the council and how they spend money.
707	NO STRONG VIEWS	As this only changes the signage I think the money could be put to better use. A long time ago many people would find themselves in the 'wrong' Goring but the internet and Sat Navs should prevent that now.
708	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	A waste to replace them and benefits do not outweigh this
709	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I see no strong need to make any change
710	NO STRONG VIEWS	Depends on who is paying for the change. I don't think council funds should be used.
711	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	Changing the signs serves no useful purpose. The village name has been 'Goring' for centuries and there is no need to change it. In fact it is arrogant to do so. Ordnance Survey and other maps and signposts will continue to use 'Goring' and this would give rise to confusion. A change to incorporate winning a particular award would soon be out of date and if this information were deemed necessary it could be added on a subordinate sign, which could easily be amended or replaced as necessary. The vanity project would use taxpayer money which is better spent elsewhere.
712	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Yes, definitely, it make more sense to clearly define the name and remove the confusion with goring on the sea
713	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	it's expensive and unnecessary to change the signs, the money could be used in a better way for more important things
714	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	I can't really see that in today's world this is a good use of public money. If someone arrives at the boundary of the village thinking this is Goring on Sea they have bigger problems than the signage. I also think the signs ought to reflect the correct legal name of the village rather than one some might like to use.

715	CHANGE THE SIGNS	The Parish Council itself found there was a need a few years ago to become Goring on Thames Parish Council - therefore I believe the Council should actively support this initiative. There is a need to differentiate between Goring on Thames and Goring-by-Sea. Goring on Thames is nicely descriptive of the village.
716	CHANGE THE SIGNS	It is a much nicer sign and in the sign let's people know we are on the Thames
717	NO STRONG VIEWS	No strong views
718	CHANGE THE SIGNS	Fully support this approach. It provides the village with excellent identity linked to one of its key features and would be fitting of the quality of the village.
719	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
720	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
721	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
722	CHANGE THE SIGNS	
723	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
724	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
725	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
726	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
727	NO STRONG VIEWS	
728	NO STRONG VIEWS	
729	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
730	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
731	CHANGE THE SIGNS	
732	CHANGE THE SIGNS	
733	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
734	NO STRONG VIEWS	
735	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
736	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
737	NO STRONG VIEWS	
738	NO STRONG VIEWS	
739	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
740	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
741	NO STRONG VIEWS	
742	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
743	LEAVE THE SIGNS AS THEY ARE	
744	CHANGE THE SIGNS	